EXHIBIT 1

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3	EASTERN DIVISION-RIVERSIDE	
4		
5	HONORABLE JESUS G. BERNAL, DISTRICT JUDGE PRESIDING	
6		
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
8) Plaintiff,)	
9) No. EDCR 23-21-JGB	
10	JASON EDWARD THOMAS CARDIFF,)	
11	Defendant.)	
12)	
13	DEDODEDIG EDANGODIDE OF MORION DROGERDINGS	
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION PROCEEDINGS	
15	Riverside, California	
16	Thursday, January 30, 2025	
17	10:27 a.m.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	PHYLLIS A. PRESTON, CSR, FCRR	
23	Federal Official Court Reporter United States District Court	
24	3470 Twelfth Street Riverside, California 92501	
25	stenojag@aol.com	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	For the Plaintiff:	
4		OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY BY: VALERIE MAKAREWICZ
5		Assistant United States Attorney 312 North Spring Street, Suite 1100
6		Los Angeles, California 90012
7		US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
8		Consumer Protection Branch BY: MANU SEBASTIAN
9		450 5th Street NW, Suite 6400 Washington, DC 20001
10		
11		
12	For the Defendant:	
13		THE COCHELL LAW FIRM PC BY: STEPHEN COCHELL
14		5850 San Felipe, Suite 500 Houston, Texas 77057
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
25		
۷ ک		

1 THURSDAY, JANUARY 30, 2025; RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 2 -000-3 THE CLERK: Calling Item 2 on the calendar, Case No. EDCR 23-21-JGB. USA v. Jason Edward Thomas Cardiff. 4 5 Counsel, please make your appearances. 10:27 6 MS. MAKAREWICZ: Good morning, Your Honor. Assistant 7 United States Attorney Valerie Makarewicz, along side is DOJ 8 trial attorney Manu Sebastian. Good morning. 9 THE COURT: Good morning. 10 MR. COCHELL: Good morning, Your Honor. Stephen 10:28 11 Cochell appearing on behalf of Jason Cardiff. 12 THE COURT: Good morning. The defendant is not present in court. Mr. Cochell, where is your client? 13 14 MR. COCHELL: He is in Dublin, Ireland, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. So you are aware that I've denied 10:28 16 the ex parte application that your client had submitted to 17 extend his stay in Ireland and that pursuant to previous order 18 he should be here, not in Ireland, correct? 19 MR. COCHELL: I understand that, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So I've reviewed the ex parte 10:28 21 applications regarding, you know, what should be done regarding 22 the pending motions. I don't agree with the Government that 23 they should not be considered. I will consider them and rule 24 on them. I don't necessarily need any argument on them since 25 I'm very familiar with the arguments that are set forth in the 10:29 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

motions, and I'm not inclined to hear any ex parte applications now. If you want to -- I know there's an ex parte application regarding the supposed arrest pursuant to a 2023 arrest warrant, an extradition. If you want any of the issues in that ex parte application to be considered, it should be through a 10:29 notice motion and I will consider them at that point. As of now, I've not issued any arrest warrant based on Mr. Cardiff's failure to appear or failure to return to the United States after the expiration of his permission to be outside of the country, but if probation or pretrial or the 10 10:29 11 Government requests that I issue such a warrant, I will. So I don't know if that becomes duplicative given the fact that 13 there's already a pending arrest warrant. So what is the Government's understanding of what's 14 15 happening in Ireland with the warrant that was executed but not 10:30 executed stemming from 2023? 16 MS. MAKAREWICZ: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm going to 17 18 ask our DOJ trial attorney who's recently spoken with the 19 Office of International Affairs about what had happened. THE COURT: Mr. Sebastian? 20 10:30 21 MR. SEBASTIAN: Your Honor, so there was an 22 extradition request that was submitted in October of 2023, like 23 counsel had said, but Mr. Cardiff was arrested at LAX and that 24 was unexpectedly, so the Government did not go out and request 25 that he be arrested again in January. The October 2023 10:30

```
extradition warrant was open and once what occurred in Ireland
 1
 2
    occurred, it was withdrawn. My understanding is that
 3
    Mr. Cardiff was not arrested in Ireland, that this was an
    amicable situation where they went to his home, they talked it
 4
    over with him, and they ended up leaving without any kind of
 5
                                                                      10:31
 6
    arrest.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. So there's information -- there's
 8
    information that his -- the password of -- his password and the
 9
    password of his wife were taken. Do you know about that?
              MR. SEBASTIAN: So my understanding was -- what the
10
                                                                      10:31
11
    Garda had told him was that they could stay with him there
12
    while they made phone calls and tried to clear up the
    situation, or he could turn over a passport, they could go back
13
    to their offices, try to clear up the situation. Mr. Cardiff
14
15
    had informed them that his Irish passport had expired. So they
                                                                      10:31
16
    had turned over some kind of document, according to our Office
17
    of International Affairs. That next morning everything was
18
    returned to them. So he has his own passports or whatever it
19
    was that they turned over.
20
              THE COURT: So if I understand you correctly, there's
                                                                      10:31
21
    no longer any pending extradition warrant for his arrest,
22
    correct?
23
              MR. SEBASTIAN:
                              That's correct.
24
              THE COURT: So there's no pending warrant for his
25
    arrest?
                                                                      10:31
```

MR. SEBASTIAN: That's correct. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. So as of right now, he's been 3 ordered to return to the country. He has not done so. But there's no warrant for his arrest? 4 5 MR. SEBASTIAN: That's right. 10:32 6 THE COURT: Okay. So what do you propose -- how do 7 you propose we proceed? MS. MAKAREWICZ: Thank you, Your Honor. In other 8 9 cases similar to this, the Government has filed a request for 10 the defendant to be declared a fugitive, that the Speedy Trial 10:32 11 Act be suspended because of the unavailability of the defendant 12 and that the Court issue a bench warrant. 13 I have been in communication or attempted to be in 14 communication with pretrial. I've sent several emails to the 15 pretrial officer in Houston that was supervising him while in 10:32 16 Texas as well as the one here locally who's been assigned the 17 case. I have not -- I haven't heard anything back from 18 pretrial as to whether or not they submitted, but the 19 Government is prepared to file its motion and request the bench 20 warrant. 10:32 21 THE COURT: Well, I mean, that's your prerogative. 22 If you want to file your motion, that's fine. I'll consider 23 that.

24 MS. MAKAREWICZ: Thank you.

25

THE COURT: Mr. Cochell, what is your understanding

10:32

```
of -- is anything that the Government said inconsistent with
 1
 2
    what your understanding of the facts are?
 3
              MR. COCHELL: Yes, Your Honor. As we set out in our
    ex parte and I think in some other papers, he was arrested and
 4
 5
    they gave him the choice of either going with them to jail in
                                                                      10:33
 6
    Ireland or he could turn over his passports, and on that basis
 7
    that's what he did. And we presented a document that said the
 8
    warrant file is still open. That was from the U.S. Embassy on
 9
    the 15th. Now maybe something has happened after that date.
                          Is it your understanding that the
10
              THE COURT:
                                                                      10:33
11
    passports had been returned to him?
12
              MR. COCHELL: They have been, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: And those passports are Irish passports
    or U.S. passports or both?
14
15
              MR. COCHELL: I don't know the answer to that, sorry.
                                                                      10:33
16
              THE COURT: But at least one of them is valid enough
    for him to be able to travel?
17
18
              MR. COCHELL: Yes.
              THE COURT: Okay. So I know that you've tried and
19
20
    your client has tried very hard to have me extend the time. I
                                                                      10:34
21
    will not extend the time. And even though I cannot preclude
22
    you from filing additional ex parte applications to extend the
23
    time, you might think better of that. I've made my decision on
24
    it, I'm comfortable with the decision I made, and I'm very
25
    unlikely to change it. So that's just my message to you, okay.
                                                                      10:34
```

1 MR. COCHELL: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Very well. Anything else we need to do? MS. MAKAREWICZ: Unless the Court -- we're able to 3 submit on our papers. If the Court has any questions, we're 4 5 happy to answer them. But the Government --10:34 6 THE COURT: Yeah, and the motions to dismiss I will 7 -- I have a draft order prepared, and I will do any revisions 8 that I need to do and probably issue that order within the 9 week. 10 MS. MAKAREWICZ: Thank you, Your Honor. 10:35 11 MR. SEBASTIAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 MR. COCHELL: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 (Proceedings concluded.) 15 -000-16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25